

# MYX

MUST Correctness Checking for YML and XMP Programs SPPEXA Annual Plenary Meeting 2017

## Presenter: Matthias Müller / Joachim Protze

Project partners: RWTH Aachen University, Germany University of Tsukuba, Japan Maison de la Simulation, France



## Consortium

- MYX builds on successful preliminary work and collaboration:
  - FP3C: French-Japanese collaboration on YML and XMP for over 10 years
  - JST-CREST: Japanese Exascale research program supporting XMP
  - MUST: scalable correctness checking tool for MPI (and OpenMP)





- RWTH Aachen University IT Center and Institute for High Performance Computing
- Prof. Dr. Matthias S. Müller, Joachim Protze





#### Partner from Japan

- University of Tsukuba, Center for Computational Sciences, and Advanced Institute of Computational Science, RIKEN
- Prof. Taisuke Boku, Dr. Hiroshi Murai



#### Partner from France

- Maison de la Simulation
- Prof. Serge Petiton, Prof. Nahid Emad



#### **Motivation**





guide

#### **Defect classification in parallel programs**

- A programming paradigms follows a certain design philosophy and is created within a specific design space.
- Within a parallel programming paradigm a programmer can write faulty programs containing defects that violate the programming standard or result in program failures.
- How can we classify the set  $A_P = \{A_1, ..., A_n\}$  of possible defects of a paradigm P?
  - Design issues: D
  - Defects that can be detected at runtime R
  - Other defects: O
- Questions addressed in MYX:
  - Identify members of D, R and O
  - How to minimize D?
  - Ho to minimize O?
  - How can we improve the detection and analysis of members of R?



#### Initial results for defect classification

- Degree of non-determinism:
  - Strict rules minimize "design issues"  $\rightarrow$  detection of issues
  - Loose rules provide more freedom in application / algorithm
- More constraints  $\rightarrow$  issues can be detected at compiletime/runtime
  - Classification of constraints as static, dynamic or global properties
  - Exercised for XMP:
    - Static constraints can be analyzed at compile time
    - Dynamic constraints can be analyzed at runtime
    - Global constraints can be analyzed at runtime with global knowledge
- Memory model:
  - How is synchronization defined?
  - What is the intended behavior for unsynchronized memory access?

#### Abstract data / controlflow for correctness tools







### **Example: Correctness detection for OpenMP**



7





#### **XMPT: Tools Interface for XMP**

- XMP is a pragma based PGAS language
- XMPT: Tools interface modeled like OMPT for OpenMP
  - Events for all XMP pragmas
    - How to express the abstract semantics of XMP spec?
  - Enables dynamic runtime correctness checks for XMP
    - What are the necessary attributes?
  - Enables data race detection
  - Also enables performance analysis for XMP (collaboration with ScoreP team at FZJ)
  - Declares a reliable interface between XMP runtime and correctness tool.



### YML: Data- and Controlflow Description

- YML as a scheduler:
  - Enable YML to start specific tasks under tool control
  - Interface between scheduler and tool:
    - Resource requirements of the tool?
    - Information provided to the tool
- Verify Yvette programs:
  - Mainly static analysis of Yvette programs
    - Races on signals, races on data
    - Mismatch in argument types
- Verify runtime implementation of YML:
  - Challenge: YML spawns MPI processes at runtime



#### Workshops in Japan

# First French-Japanese-German Workshop on Programming and Computing for Exascale and beyond

- Wednesday, April 5th, 2017, 9:30 18:15
- French Embassy, Tokyo
- Organized by RIKEN AICS and Maison de la Simulation

#### SPPEXA Workshop in Japan 2017

- Thursday, April 6th, 2017, 9:00 18:00
- Organized by University of Tsukuba
- Attendees for both workshops mainly from MYX, DASH and ESSEX



# Vielen Dank für ihre Aufmerksamkeit

